1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 \* \* \* 5 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 7 Plaintiff, 2:10-CR- 0532-RLH-GWF 8 ORDER VS. 9 OSCAR CARRANZA, 10 Defendant. 11 12 Before this Court is the Finding and Recommendations of United States Magistrate 13 Judge (#39, filed August 5, 2011), entered by the Honorable George W. Foley, regarding Defen-14 dant's Motion to Suppress (#19). Objections (#41) were filed to Magistrate Judge Foley's Findings 15 and Recommendations in accordance with Local Rule IB 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United 16 States District Court for the District of Nevada. The United States has filed a Response (#42) thereto, 17 and the matter was submitted for consideration. 18 The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case in accordance with 19 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-2 and determines that the Findings and 20 Recommendations of Magistrate Judge Foley should be accepted and adopted. 21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommenda-22 tions (#39) are ACCEPTED and ADOPTED, and Defendant Carranza's Motion to Suppress is denied. 23 24 Dated: September 7, 2011. L. Hant 25

26